Perception and Reality: Key Factors Influencing Politician Image

Watcharachai Naklamai[1]

Waiphot Kulachai[2]

[1,2]College of Politics and Government, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Thailand

E-mail: s65563825010@ssru.ac.th[1], Corresponding Author: waiphot.ku@ssru.ac.th[2]

Received: 8 May 2024

Revised: 1 August 2024

Accepted: 18 July 2025

บทคัดย่อ

บทความวิชาการนี้เป็นการสังเคราะห์วรรณกรรมที่เกี่ยวข้องกับปัจจัยซึ่งมีอิทธิพลต่อภาพลักษณ์ของนักการเมือง โดยบูรณาการงานวิจัยทางด้านรัฐศาสตร์ จิตวิทยา และสื่อสารมวลชน บทความนี้ให้ความสำคัญกับการประเมินผลกระทบของคุณลักษณะส่วนบุคคล การนำเสนอของสื่อ ผลการดำเนินการทางการเมือง การมีปฏิสัมพันธ์กับสาธารณชน และบริบททางวัฒนธรรม ต่อภาพลักษณ์ของนักการเมือง ซึ่งผลการวิเคราะห์ พบว่า ภาพลักษณ์ของนักการเมืองเป็นปัจจัยที่มีความสำคัญเกินกว่าผลลัพธ์ทางนโยบาย โดยภาพลักษณ์ของนักการเมืองได้รับอิทธิพลเป็นอย่างมากจากการนำเสนอของสื่อและความสอดคล้องกับบรรทัดฐานทางวัฒนธรรม อิทธิพลของแพลตฟอร์มสื่อดิจิทัลที่เพิ่มขึ้น ได้เปลี่ยนแปลงการจัดการภาพลักษณ์แบบดั้งเดิม ทำให้นักการเมืองจำเป็นที่จะต้องปรับกลยุทธ์เพื่อรักษาภาพลักษณ์ของตนเอง ดังนั้นการทำความเข้าใจถึงปัจจัยที่ซับซ้อนและเชื่อมโยงกันเหล่านี้มีความสำคัญอย่างยิ่งต่อการบริหารจัดการภาพลักษณ์ของนักการเมืองต่อสาธารณะได้อย่างมีประสิทธิภาพในสภาพแวดล้อมทางการเมืองสมัยใหม่ และนักวางแผนกลยุทธ์และนักสื่อสารทางการเมือง สามารถนำไปปฏิบัติได้จริงในการสร้างภาพลักษณ์ที่ดีของนักการเมืองต่อสาธารณชน

Abstract

This academic article synthesizes literature related to the factors influencing the image of politicians by integrating research from political science, psychology, and mass communication. The article emphasizes evaluating the impact of personal characteristics, media presentation, political performance, public interaction, and cultural context on the image of politicians. The analysis reveals that a politician's

image is more significant than policy outcomes, with media presentation and alignment with cultural norms heavily influencing the image. The rise of digital media platforms has transformed traditional image management, necessitating that politicians adapt their strategies to maintain their image. Therefore, understanding these complex and interconnected factors is crucial for effectively managing politicians' public image in the modern political environment. Strategic planners and political communicators can practically apply this understanding to create a positive image of politicians among the public.

Keywords: Cultural Influences; Digital Media; Image Management; Political Performance; Public Perception

Introduction

The image of a politician, intricately woven from public perceptions and media portrayals, significantly influences their electoral viability and governance effectiveness. In contemporary political discourse, the construction and management of a politician's image transcends simple physical appearance, encompassing a complex array of attributes including integrity, competence, and emotional appeal. This review article embarks on a systematic exploration of the factors that mold these perceptions, rooted in an interdisciplinary approach that spans political science, psychology, and media studies.

Recent scholarly work underscores the pivotal role of personal characteristics in shaping political figures' public images. Studies by Lenz and Lawson (2011) and subsequent researchers have shown that voters' perceptions of politicians' personal traits can profoundly influence electoral outcomes, often overriding the substantive content of their policy positions. Moreover, the advent of digital media has transformed the landscape of political communication, enabling politicians to craft and disseminate their images more directly and with greater reach. The capacity of social media platforms to shape political narratives is now a well-documented phenomenon, with recent studies highlighting their role in both bolstering and tarnishing public images (Kreiss & McGregor, 2018).

Additionally, political performance and public interactions are increasingly scrutinized through the lens of media, further complicating the image management strategies of politicians. Todorov et al. (2015) provide evidence that even non-verbal cues in televised appearances can affect public perception significantly, impacting both the immediate reception and longer-term image of political actors. The

interplay of these dynamics is further influenced by cultural and societal contexts, where national identity and prevailing social norms dictate the attributes most admired in leaders.

The rationale for delving into the factors influencing politician image is twofold: firstly, to enhance the strategic toolkit available to political operatives for image management, and secondly, to contribute to the academic discourse on the efficacy of various communication strategies in different cultural milieus. This article will systematically review and synthesize the current literature on the subject, drawing on empirical studies and theoretical models from the last decade. The aim is to delineate the nuanced ways in which various factors contribute to the construction of a politician's image and to discern the implications of these dynamics for democratic engagement and political strategy.

Factors Influencing Politician Image

The public image of politicians is an amalgam of multifarious factors that influence perceptions and, ultimately, political success. This comprehensive exploration details the core elements shaping politician image, each pivotal in its own right. These factors are grouped into five primary categories: personal characteristics, media representation, political performance, public interaction, and cultural and societal influences.

Personal Characteristics

Charisma and Physical Appearance

Charisma, a profound trait in the arsenal of any politician, encapsulates the magnetism and charm that can significantly sway public opinion and voter behavior. This facet of a politician's image is not only pivotal during campaigns but also plays a continuous role throughout their political tenure. The impact of physical appearance on political success is substantial, as visually appealing traits often translate into positive first impressions that can decisively influence voter perceptions and outcomes. The phenomenon of the "halo effect," where physical attractiveness is associated with positive attributes such as intelligence, trustworthiness, and competence, is well-documented in political psychology. Todorov et al. (2015) found that judgments based on facial appearances could predict election outcomes, suggesting that subtle facial cues might evoke deep-seated biases and preferences in voters. This correlation between appearance and perceived personal qualities underscores the superficial yet powerful impact of aesthetics on political engagement. Politicians often leverage their charisma through public appearances, speeches, and media interactions, which in turn enhances their appeal to broader demographics. Charismatic leaders are also

perceived as more persuasive, which is a crucial attribute in governance and policy advocacy. The challenge, however, lies in balancing charisma with substance, as reliance on personal allure without delivering results can lead to skepticism and disenchantment among the electorate.

Personal Integrity and Ethics

Integrity and ethics are the bedrock of a politician's public image. Trustworthiness and moral uprightness are traits that constituents prioritize highly, often above policy alignment. Politicians who are perceived as ethical are typically rewarded with higher trust levels and greater leeway in decision-making from their constituents. Conversely, ethical lapses or corruption scandals can be devastating, often irreversibly tarnishing a politician's image and undermining their credibility and effectiveness. Birch (2010) highlights how public perceptions of integrity directly impact electoral success and political longevity. Politicians embroiled in scandals are likely to see a significant erosion of support, suggesting a direct link between ethical conduct and public approval. In democratic societies, the emphasis on integrity is particularly pronounced, with voters expecting transparency and honesty from their elected officials. This expectation places a significant burden on politicians to maintain a clean and ethical profile, not only in their public duties but also in their private dealings. The digital age has amplified this requirement, as information—and misinformation—about personal and professional conduct is more accessible than ever.

Educational and Professional Background

The educational and professional background of a politician forms a critical part of their public persona, influencing perceptions of their competence and suitability for office. Voters tend to support candidates whose credentials align with the demands of political office, viewing formal education and relevant professional experience as indicators of preparedness and capability. Rasmussen and Nørgaard (2018) discuss how educational achievements can enhance a politician's reputation, providing a foundation of credibility and respect that benefits policymaking and governance. The impact of a politician's background is often reflected in their approach to governance and policy. For instance, politicians with a legal background may be more adept at legislative processes, while those with business experience might prioritize economic policies. This alignment between background and policy emphasis not only informs public expectations but also guides voter support during elections. Furthermore, a diverse educational and professional background can be particularly appealing, as it suggests a well-rounded character capable of understanding and managing various aspects of government and society. Moreover, the visibility of a politician's educational and professional achievements through media and campaign communications reinforces their image as competent and knowledgeable leaders. In an era where the electorate is

increasingly seeking transparency and qualification in their representatives, showcasing a robust educational and professional portfolio has become an integral part of image management and electoral strategy.

Together, these personal characteristics form a triad that profoundly influences a politician's public image. Charisma and appearance can attract attention and engender initial support, integrity and ethics build trust and maintain long-term loyalty, and a strong educational and professional background assures the electorate of the politician's competence and capability to lead. Each element plays a critical role in shaping how politicians are perceived by the public, impacting their effectiveness in office and their overall political career trajectory. Critically, while personal characteristics such as charisma and physical appearance can significantly boost a politician's appeal, they can also lead to a superficial assessment of leadership capabilities. The overemphasis on appearance and charm may overshadow the importance of substantive policy discussions and long-term governance strategies. In the digital age, where media and social media platforms amplify these superficial traits, there is a risk that voters may prioritize style over substance, leading to disillusionment when charismatic leaders fail to deliver on their promises. Therefore, it is imperative for voters to critically evaluate the balance between a politician's personal appeal and their actual performance and integrity to ensure that their support is well-founded and sustainable.

Media Representation

Role of Press Coverage and Media Bias

The role of the media in shaping political images cannot be overstated. Press coverage serves as a critical interface between politicians and the public, mediating the information that voters receive and thereby shaping perceptions significantly. The extent and angle of media coverage can either enhance or tarnish a politician's image. Kreiss and McGregor (2018) note that media bias, whether real or perceived, significantly impacts how politicians are viewed by the public. The authors argue that even subtle biases in how stories are framed can lead to significant shifts in public opinion, potentially making or breaking political careers. Media outlets often hold immense power in their ability to select which issues or attributes of a politician to highlight, leading to what McCombs and Shaw termed "agenda-setting." By choosing which stories to tell and how to tell them, the press can shape the political agenda, influencing what the public thinks about and how they perceive various political figures (McCombs & Shaw, 1972). Furthermore, the rise of niche broadcasting and digital platforms has led to a fragmented media landscape where voters can choose outlets that reinforce their existing views, potentially exacerbating perceptions of media bias.

Impact of Social Media

Social media has transformed the landscape of political communication by allowing politicians to bypass traditional media filters and engage directly with the electorate. Bessi and Ferrara (2016) explore how social media platforms amplify both positive and negative perceptions at a speed and scale previously unimaginable. Their research indicates that social media can serve as a double-edged sword: while it provides a platform for authenticity and direct interaction, it also exposes politicians to rapid and often harsh public scrutiny (Bessi & Ferrara, 2016). The immediacy and reach of platforms like Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram have created new dynamics in image management, where public reactions and controversies can unfold in real-time. This environment requires politicians to be exceptionally cautious and strategic about their online personas. The dynamics of viral content can also lead to rapid shifts in public perception, with single posts or images capable of making a significant impact. This new reality necessitates a more agile and proactive approach to public relations and image management in the digital age.

Effects of Media Training and Public Relations

In the era of continuous media scrutiny, professional media training and strategic public relations have become essential for politicians. Huang (2006) discusses how media training helps politicians to effectively communicate their messages, maintain composure, and handle crises, thus positively shaping public perception. These practices equip politicians with the skills to navigate complex media landscapes, ensuring that their public interactions enhance their image rather than detract from it (Huang, 2006). Media training typically includes coaching on how to speak in sound bites, manage non-verbal communication, and respond to hostile questions effectively. Such preparation is crucial not only for routine interactions with the press but also for managing crisis situations that can otherwise lead to damaging coverage. Furthermore, strategic public relations involve crafting narratives that resonate with target audiences, managing communication during crises, and building long-term relationships with the media to facilitate favorable coverage. The synergy between media training and public relations strategies can significantly enhance a politician's ability to project a desired image. By controlling the narrative and framing their stories effectively, politicians can influence public perception to align with their political objectives. This strategic approach to media interaction has become a cornerstone of modern political operations, reflecting the complex interplay between media representation and political success.

In conclusion, the media’s role in shaping politician image is multifaceted and profound. From traditional press coverage and its potential biases to the revolutionary impacts of social media, politicians must navigate a complex media environment. Coupled with the essential roles of media training and strategic public relations, these factors collectively dictate the public persona and ultimately the success of

political figures. As the media landscape continues to evolve, so too must the strategies employed by politicians to manage their public image, highlighting the dynamic and ongoing relationship between media representation and political life. Critically, while social media offers unprecedented opportunities for direct engagement and authenticity, it also poses significant risks. The unfiltered nature of social media can lead to the rapid spread of misinformation and increased exposure to negative scrutiny. Politicians must therefore exercise caution and strategic thinking in their use of these platforms to safeguard their image. The potential for viral content to either bolster or damage a politician's reputation underscores the need for a balanced and well-managed approach to social media engagement. Ultimately, the impact of social media on a politician's image is double-edged, necessitating a nuanced understanding and careful navigation to leverage its benefits while mitigating its risks.

Political Performance

Policy Successes and Failures

The effectiveness with which politicians fulfill their electoral promises plays a critical role in shaping their public image. The ability to implement successful policies is seen as a direct indicator of competence and effectiveness, enhancing the politician's reputation and public trust. Conversely, failures in policy execution or unfulfilled promises can severely undermine public confidence and diminish a politician's credibility. Jenkins-Smith et al. (2018) explore this dynamic, noting that policy outcomes significantly influence public attitudes toward political figures. Their research underscores that successful policy implementation can lead to higher approval ratings, while policy failures often result in political disenchantment and reduced electoral support. Successful policy initiatives also provide tangible evidence of a politician's commitment and capability, allowing them to demonstrate their impact on constituents' lives. This performance is often measured in terms of improved social services, economic growth, or enhanced national security, which are critical areas of concern for most voters. The evaluation of these achievements is not just about the outcomes themselves but also how well they align with the expectations set during campaigns, which are continuously scrutinized by the media and the public.

Crisis Management Skills

The capacity to manage crises effectively is another pivotal aspect of political performance that shapes a politician's image. Effective crisis management not only averts potential disasters but also reinforces the leader's image as resilient and capable. Boin et al. (2019) emphasize the importance of crisis management skills, suggesting that the public's perception of a politician's effectiveness during crises can

significantly influence their overall image. They argue that adept handling of crises enhances a leader's reputation by projecting an image of control, competence, and reliability, which are highly valued during turbulent times. Crisis management requires quick decision-making, clear communication, and an ability to maintain public confidence. The consequences of poor crisis management are often severe, leading to a loss of public trust and sometimes irreversible damage to a politician's career. Effective leaders are those who can navigate these high-pressure situations, make informed decisions that mitigate the impact of the crisis, and communicate these decisions transparently to the public.

Leadership Style and Decision Making

The leadership style and decision-making processes of politicians significantly influence their public image. Aldoory and Toth (2004) discuss various leadership styles, ranging from authoritative to participatory, and their implications for public perception. Authoritative leaders, who are often decisive and command-driven, can project strength and confidence, which may be particularly appealing during times of crisis or instability. In contrast, a more democratic or consultative leadership style can foster perceptions of fairness, inclusiveness, and responsiveness, appealing to constituents who value collaboration and transparency. The choice of leadership style can affect not only how decisions are made but also how they are perceived by the public. Decisive leadership may be effective in swift policy implementation, but without sufficient consultation, it can lead to perceptions of autocracy. Conversely, while consultative leadership may enhance democratic engagement, it might also lead to indecisiveness in policy matters. Leaders must balance these styles to navigate complex political landscapes effectively, aligning their approach with the demands of the situation and the expectations of their constituents.

In conclusion, the political performance of leaders, encompassing their policy achievements, crisis management abilities, and leadership styles, critically shapes their public image. Successful policy implementation and effective crisis management enhance perceptions of competence and reliability, while leadership style influences perceptions of inclusiveness and decision-making efficacy. These factors collectively define a politician's image and are integral to their success and longevity in office. As the political arena continues to evolve, understanding the impact of these performance metrics becomes crucial for both political actors and the constituents they serve.

Public Interaction

Community Engagement and Visibility

Community engagement and visibility are critical components in the construction and maintenance of a politician's public image. Regular interaction with the community through public events, town halls, and local visits provides politicians with essential opportunities to connect with constituents on a personal level. These interactions allow politicians to demonstrate their commitment to the concerns and needs of their community, thus enhancing their relatability and approachability. Engaging with the community not only builds trust but also helps to humanize politicians. When constituents see their representatives actively participating in community events and visibly contributing to the community's welfare, it reinforces the notion that the politician is genuinely interested in serving the public rather than pursuing personal or party agendas. Research by Stanyer (2013) emphasizes the importance of visibility in community engagement, noting that politicians who are seen as part of the community tend to enjoy higher levels of trust and support (Stanyer, 2013). Furthermore, visible engagement can also serve as a platform for politicians to showcase their achievements and future plans directly to the voters, bypassing media distortions and reaching out to the electorate in an unmediated format. This direct engagement becomes a crucial strategy in an era where public trust in media is wavering and people prefer firsthand information.

Responsiveness to Public Concerns

Responsiveness to public concerns is another vital aspect of public interaction that significantly affects a politician's image. When politicians demonstrate that they are responsive to the needs and concerns of their constituents, they are perceived as more empathetic and effective. This responsiveness can manifest in various forms, such as addressing grievances, responding to communications, or making policy changes in reaction to public feedback. A study by Grimmer, Messing, and Westwood (2017) highlights the impact of responsiveness on public approval ratings. They found that constituents are more likely to support politicians who they perceive as responsive to their communications, showing that direct responses to emails, social media interactions, and public inquiries can enhance a politician's image significantly (Grimmer, Messing, & Westwood, 2017). Active responsiveness not only boosts the perceived empathy of a politician but also reinforces their reputation as effective problem-solvers. It shows the public that their representative is not only aware of the issues but is also actively working to resolve them. This proactive approach to dealing with public concerns is crucial in maintaining a positive and trustworthy image.

Communication Skills

Effective communication skills are the linchpin of successful politician image management. The ability to clearly articulate policies, respond to criticism, and communicate empathetically with constituents plays a critical role in shaping public perception. Communication is not merely about transmitting information; it is also about engaging with the audience, listening to their concerns, and responding in a manner that resonates with them. Almonkari and Isotalus (2012) discuss the importance of narrative competence in political communication, arguing that politicians who can tell compelling stories that align with the values and experiences of their constituents tend to be more successful in securing public support. Effective communication involves a blend of clarity, empathy, and responsiveness, which are essential for public interactions and media engagements. Moreover, the ability to communicate effectively under pressure, particularly during crises or controversial issues, can significantly impact a politician's public image. Handling tough questions from the media and the public with poise and assurance can help maintain and even enhance a politician’s image as a capable and trustworthy leader.

In conclusion, public interaction plays a crucial role in shaping the image of politicians. Community engagement, responsiveness to public concerns, and effective communication are all essential elements that contribute to a positive public perception. These factors not only build trust and credibility but also enhance the politician's relatability and effectiveness. As the political landscape continues to evolve, the importance of genuine and effective public interaction remains paramount for any politician aiming to build and maintain a positive public image.

Cultural and Societal Influences

Influence of Cultural Values and Norms

Cultural values and norms profoundly shape the public's expectations and perceptions of political leaders. These societal parameters define what is deemed acceptable or admirable behavior, impacting how politicians are perceived when they align or misalign with these values. Schwartz and Bardi (2017) explore the relationship between cultural values and the image of political figures, noting that alignment with these values significantly enhances a politician's image within their cultural context. Politicians who embody cultural ideals in their personal and professional conduct tend to garner greater public trust and support (Schwartz & Bardi, 2017). This congruence can influence various aspects of a politician's career, from election campaigns to policy preferences and public interactions. For example, in societies where individualism is highly valued, politicians who promote personal freedom and responsibility may be more

popular than those who advocate for collectivist approaches. Conversely, in cultures where community and family are paramount, politicians that emphasize social welfare programs and community development initiatives are likely to receive more support. Moreover, the extent to which politicians adhere to and promote these cultural norms can also affect their longevity in political office. Violations of cultural expectations can lead to scandals or public backlash, significantly damaging a politician's image and career. Therefore, understanding and navigating cultural values is crucial for effective political image management.

The Role of National and Ethnic Identity

National and ethnic identities are critical components that shape the public image of politicians, especially in culturally diverse societies. Kim (2019) discusses the impact of national and ethnic identity on political leadership, pointing out that politicians who effectively represent and resonate with the identities of their constituents tend to build stronger and more loyal support bases. This representation involves not only advocating for the interests of these groups but also embodying aspects of their identity in public life (Kim, 2019). In multi-ethnic societies, the challenge for politicians often lies in balancing the diverse identities and interests of their constituents while fostering a sense of national unity. Politicians who can navigate this complex dynamic are seen as unifiers and peacemakers, qualities that enhance their public image and electoral prospects. Conversely, failure to adequately represent or respect ethnic identities can lead to tensions and diminished support among key voter segments. Furthermore, the role of ethnic identity in politics is not static and can evolve with changing demographics and societal attitudes. Politicians need to stay attuned to these changes to effectively manage their public image and maintain their relevance in the political landscape.

Impact of Global Events

Global events such as international conflicts, economic crises, or pandemics significantly influence the image of politicians, often serving as a litmus test for their leadership qualities. Thompson (2020) examines how politicians' responses to global events can either enhance or damage their public image. Effective management of these events can bolster a politician's reputation as a capable and reliable leader, while poor handling can lead to significant public and political fallout (Thompson, 2020). The global stage offers a unique set of challenges and opportunities for political leaders. Responses to international crises, participation in global summits, and interactions with foreign leaders are closely watched and can have far-reaching implications for a politician's image both at home and abroad. These events test a politician's diplomatic skills, crisis management abilities, and overall leadership competence. Moreover, the way politicians handle international relations and global crises can also impact their country's standing on

the world stage, further influencing their domestic image and political fate. As such, adeptness in international affairs is not only a matter of foreign policy but also a critical element of domestic political image management.

While cultural values, national identity, and responses to global events are vital components of a politician's public image, over-reliance on these factors can lead to critical issues. Politicians who overly align with prevailing cultural norms may perpetuate biases and fail to advocate for necessary social changes, stifling progress and reinforcing systemic inequalities. In the context of national and ethnic identities, they may exploit these elements to foster divisiveness rather than unity, appealing to narrow segments of the population instead of promoting inclusive policies that benefit the broader society. Similarly, handling global events, while a key measure of competence, can be manipulated for political gain, prioritizing short-term popularity over long-term solutions, and aligning with nationalistic sentiments can lead to isolationist policies that undermine international cooperation. Therefore, politicians and their constituents must critically evaluate how these elements are used in political discourse and action. Voters should be wary of politicians who leverage cultural values and global events solely for political advantage without addressing underlying issues or promoting genuine progress. Politicians must strive to balance cultural alignment with inclusivity and immediate responsiveness to global events with thoughtful, long-term policymaking. Only through such a balanced approach can politicians effectively maintain a positive public image and achieve sustainable success in the complex world of politics.

Discussion

The image of a politician is crafted through the complex interplay of various factors ranging from personal characteristics to broader cultural and societal influences. The synthesis of findings from diverse studies illustrates how these elements converge to mold public perceptions and ultimately, political fortunes. Personal traits such as charisma, integrity, and professional background significantly influence a politician's image directly by affecting voter perceptions of trustworthiness and competence. For instance, Todorov et al. (2015) discuss how subtle facial cues can significantly influence electoral outcomes, revealing the deep-seated psychological impact of physical appearance on voter preferences. Meanwhile, Jenkins-Smith et al. (2018) highlight the role of policy successes and failures in shaping public trust and confidence in political leadership, underscoring the practical impacts of political performance. Media representation acts as a critical mediator in this process. Kreiss and McGregor (2018) elucidate how media framing can skew public perception, potentially amplifying or mitigating the impacts of personal and performance-related factors.

Furthermore, the influence of cultural values and societal norms, as discussed by Borg et al. (2017), adds another layer of complexity, revealing how these underlying societal elements can dictate the parameters within which political images are evaluated. The integration of these findings suggests a dynamic model where politician image is continuously shaped and reshaped through interactions between personal attributes, media representation, and cultural contexts, each influencing and being influenced by the others in a continuous feedback loop.

The factors influencing politician image vary significantly across different regions, political systems, and historical periods. In democratic societies, media freedom and the emphasis on personal integrity and policy transparency play a pivotal role in shaping political images. Contrastingly, in more authoritarian regimes, state-controlled media and the projection of strength and decisiveness might be more influential. Historical periods also reflect differing values that affect politician image. For instance, during wartime, leadership traits such as decisiveness and strength may be more valued, as seen in the widespread support for leaders like Winston Churchill during WWII. In contrast, peacetime may favor politicians who focus on economic policies and social welfare, as demonstrated by the popularity of leaders like Franklin D. Roosevelt during the New Deal era.

The findings from this synthesis both align with and challenge existing theories in political science and communication. For instance, the agenda-setting theory proposed by McCombs and Shaw (1972) is supported by the significant role media plays in shaping political images (McCombs & Shaw, 1972). However, the complex interplay of media with cultural values and personal traits suggests a more nuanced understanding than traditional models of media influence. Similarly, the role of cultural values in shaping political image aligns with Geert Hofstede's cultural dimensions theory, which posits that societal values influence all forms of social interactions and institutions, including politics (Hofstede, 1980). However, the dynamic nature of these influences, especially in a globalized world where cultural boundaries are increasingly blurred, presents a challenge to the static nature of such theoretical frameworks.

The analysis of how various factors influence politician image reveals a complex landscape where personal characteristics, media dynamics, and cultural values interact in multifaceted ways. This interaction not only shapes individual political careers but also impacts broader political processes and outcomes. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for both political actors seeking to enhance their public image and scholars aiming to develop more accurate models of political behavior and public perception. The insights gained also underscore the importance of adapting political strategies to changing media

landscapes and shifting cultural norms, ensuring that political communication remains effective and resonant with the electorate.

Recommendations

Recommendations for Politicians

1. Balance Charisma with Substance: Politicians should complement their charisma and physical appearance with substantive policy discussions and tangible results. Demonstrating competence and delivering on promises helps maintain long-term credibility and support.

2. Promote Integrity and Ethics: Upholding high standards of integrity and ethics is crucial. Prioritize transparency and honesty in all dealings, both public and private, to build trust and loyalty among constituents and reduce the risk of scandals.

3. Strategic Media Management: Engage in media training to effectively communicate messages, manage non-verbal cues, and handle crises. Develop strong relationships with the media and craft compelling narratives that resonate with target audiences to ensure favorable coverage and mitigate negative impacts.

Recommendations for Academicians

1. Interdisciplinary Research: Encourage interdisciplinary research that combines political science, psychology, communication, and cultural studies to understand the multifaceted nature of political image formation, providing a more comprehensive understanding of the factors at play.

2. Focus on Media Influence: Conduct studies on the role of media in shaping political images, particularly in the digital age. Examine how different media platforms influence public perceptions and the effectiveness of various media strategies used by politicians.

3. Cultural and Societal Influences: Investigate how cultural values and societal norms impact political image and voter behavior. Understanding these influences can provide insights into how politicians can effectively engage with diverse demographic groups.

Conclusion

The synthesis of research highlights that the image of a politician is constructed through a complex interaction of personal attributes, media influence, cultural norms, and political performance. This comprehensive analysis reveals that certain elements are more potent than others in specific contexts but

generally, a multi-faceted approach is essential for the effective management of a political image. Personal characteristics such as charisma, physical appearance, and integrity are foundational to forming initial impressions among the electorate. Research, including that by Todorov et al. (2015), underscores that even subconscious perceptions based on facial cues can significantly impact voting decisions, suggesting that the physical presentation of politicians can be as influential as their political policies (Todorov et al., 2015). Furthermore, Jenkins-Smith et al. (2018) illustrate how perceived personal integrity and a politician's ability to deliver on promises profoundly affect their credibility and public trust, directly correlating with their political survival (Jenkins-Smith et al., 2018). Media representation also plays a critical role in shaping a politician's image. The media acts as a gatekeeper of information and can significantly influence public perception through the framing of news. Kreiss and McGregor (2018) have detailed how media bias, whether perceived or real, can alter public perceptions, potentially enhancing or tarnishing a politician's image based on the nature of the media coverage (Kreiss & McGregor, 2018). The advent of social media has introduced a new dynamic to this landscape, providing politicians with platforms to directly engage with the electorate but also exposing them to immediate public scrutiny and the rapid spread of misinformation. Cultural values and societal norms fundamentally dictate the acceptable parameters within which politicians operate. Schwartz and Bardi (2017) discuss how alignment with cultural values can greatly enhance a politician's image, as constituents tend to favor leaders who embody their ideals and societal norms (Schwartz & Bardi, 2017). This cultural alignment influences everything from campaign strategies to policy priorities and public engagements, underscoring the necessity for politicians to be culturally competent and sensitive to the values of their constituents. Political performance, particularly in terms of policy success and crisis management, is instrumental in shaping a politician's image. Effective governance and the adept handling of crises reinforce a leader's image as competent and reliable. Boin et al. (2019) highlight that successful crisis management can significantly bolster a politician's reputation, enhancing their perceived leadership capabilities during challenging times (Boin et al., 2019). The practical implications of these findings suggest that politicians and their advisors must adopt a holistic approach to image management. This involves not only maintaining a favorable public persona through personal behavior and media interactions but also through tangible political achievements and cultural alignment. Politicians must engage proactively with media to shape narratives, participate in cultural events to reinforce their societal bonds, and demonstrate political acumen through effective policy and crisis management. Future research should explore the evolving impact of digital media platforms on political image management, examine the role of cultural shifts in shaping political norms, and conduct longitudinal studies to understand how political

images evolve over a politician's career. Additionally, investigating the interplay between political image and international diplomacy could offer new insights into the global implications of a politician's public persona. Overall, the construction of a political image is a dynamic process influenced by an array of factors that interact in complex ways. Politicians need to navigate these carefully, balancing personal integrity, media savvy, cultural sensitivity, and political efficacy to maintain and enhance their public image. Further scholarly exploration will continue to uncover the nuances of this intricate process, offering strategic insights for political image management in an increasingly interconnected and media-saturated world.

References

Aldoory, L., & Toth, E. (2004). Leadership and gender in public relations: Perceived effectiveness of transformational and transactional leadership styles. *Journal of Public Relations Research, 16*(2), 157-183.

Almonkari, M., & Isotalus, P. (2012). Two perspectives on the communication skills of political leaders. *International Journal of Strategic Communication, 6*(3), 246-267.

Bessi, A., & Ferrara, E. (2016). Social bots distort the 2016 US Presidential election online discussion. *First Monday,* 11-17.

Birch, S. (2010). Perceptions of electoral fairness and voter turnout. *Comparative political studies, 43*(12), 1601-1622.

Boin, A. (2019). The transboundary crisis: Why we are unprepared and the road ahead. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 27*(1), 94-99.

Borg, I., Bardi, A., & Schwartz, S. H. (2017). Does the value circle exist within persons or only across persons? *Journal of Personality, 85*(2), 151-162.

Grimmer, J., Messing, S., & Westwood, S. J. (2017). Estimating heterogeneous treatment effects and the effects of heterogeneous treatments with ensemble methods. *Political Analysis, 25*(4), 413-434.

Hofstede, G. (1980). Motivation, leadership, and organization: do American theories apply abroad? *Organizational Dynamics, 9*(1), 42-63.

Huang, Y. H. (2006). Crisis situations, communication strategies, and media coverage: A multicase study revisiting the communicative response model. *Communication Research, 33*(3), 180-205.

Jenkins-Smith, H. C., Nohrstedt, D., Weible, C. M., & Ingold, K. (2018). The advocacy coalition framework: An overview of the research program. *Theories of the Policy Process*, 135-171.

Kreiss, D., & McGregor, S. C. (2018). Technology firms shape political communication: The work of Microsoft, Facebook, Twitter, and Google with campaigns during the 2016 US presidential cycle. *Political Communication, 35*(2), 155-177.

Lenz, G. S., & Lawson, C. (2011). Looking the part: Television leads less informed citizens to vote based on candidates' appearance. *American Journal of Political Science, 55*(3), 574-589.

McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The agenda-setting function of mass media. *Public Opinion Quarterly, 36*(2), 176-187.

Rasmussen, S. H. R., & Nørgaard, A. S. (2018). When and why does education matter? Motivation and resource effects in political efficacy. *European Journal of Political Research, 57*(1), 24-46.

Stanyer, J. (2013). *Intimate politics: Publicity, privacy and the personal lives of politicians in media saturated democracies*. John Wiley & Sons.

Todorov, A., Olivola, C. Y., Dotsch, R., & Mende-Siedlecki, P. (2015). Social attributions from faces: Determinants, consequences, accuracy, and functional significance. *Annual Review of Psychology, 66,* 519-545.