Cultivating Gratitude in Buddhist Practice: Pathways to Inner Peace, Compassion, and Holistic Well-Being

Bipula Shrestha
Holistic Minds and Life Celebration Center. Nepal.

Corresponding author email: bipu.sth1@gmail.com
Received 21/03/2026; Revised 03/06/2026; Accepted 15/09/2026

Abstract

This qualitative documentary study examines gratitude in Buddhist thought and evaluates its relevance to contemporary psychological and holistic well-being. The study addresses three objectives: (1) to examine the ethical and philosophical foundations of gratitude in early Buddhist teachings; (2) to analyze the relationship between gratitude, compassion, mindfulness, and psychological well-being; and (3) to synthesize a conceptual framework explaining how gratitude may connect Buddhist contemplative awareness with ethical and compassionate action. Data were drawn from selected Pāli discourses in the Aṅguttara Nikāya, Dīgha Nikāya, Sutta Nipāta, and related English translations, together with scholarly literature on Buddhist ethics, positive psychology, mindfulness, compassion, and contemplative neuroscience. The materials were analyzed through qualitative thematic analysis and comparative conceptual synthesis.

The findings identify four interrelated dimensions of Buddhist gratitude: recognition of received benefit (kataññutā), ethical reciprocation (kataveditā), awareness of relational and conditioned existence, and compassionate engagement. Contemporary psychological research similarly associates gratitude with psychological well-being, prosocial behavior, and stronger interpersonal relationships, although recent evidence indicates that the effects of gratitude interventions are generally modest and context-dependent. Based on the documentary synthesis, the study proposes the Gratitude-to-Compassion Pathway (GCP), comprising Recognition, Relational Awareness, Ethical Reciprocation, and Compassionate Engagement. The GCP conceptualizes gratitude as a movement from awareness of received benefit toward ethical responsibility and broader concern for others. As the framework is derived from documentary analysis rather than empirical testing, it should be regarded as a provisional conceptual model requiring further qualitative, quantitative, and cross-cultural validation.

Keywords: Gratitude; Buddhism; Kataññutā; Kataveditā; Compassion; Mindfulness; Well-being

Introduction

Gratitude has attracted increasing attention in contemporary psychology as a factor associated with well-being, resilience, life satisfaction, and positive interpersonal relationships (Emmons & McCullough, 2003; Wood et al., 2010). Research suggests that gratitude functions not only as an emotion but also as a cognitive and relational orientation that helps individuals recognize benefits received from others and develop stronger social connections (Algoe, 2012). Fredrickson's (2004, 2009) broaden-and-build theory further proposes that positive emotions, including gratitude, may expand cognitive and behavioral repertoires and build enduring psychological resources. Recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses generally support positive associations between gratitude and psychological well-being, although effect sizes vary across studies and cultural contexts (Diniz et al., 2023; Kirca et al., 2023).

In Buddhist thought, gratitude is understood in a broader ethical context. Early Buddhist teachings emphasize kataññutā (recognizing benefits received) and kataveditā (appropriately reciprocating those benefits), presenting gratitude as both awareness and moral responsibility (Bodhi Bhikkhu, 2012). The Aṅguttara Nikāya identifies benefactors and those who repay kindness as rare and admirable individuals, highlighting gratitude as a foundational social virtue (AN 2.118). Buddhist teachings concerning parents, teachers, and benefactors further demonstrate that gratitude is closely linked to ethical conduct and social harmony.

Beyond interpersonal relationships, Buddhist philosophy also provides a wider framework through the principle of dependent origination (paṭiccasamuppāda), which explains existence in terms of conditions and interdependence (Bodhi Bhikkhu, 2000). Although dependent origination does not explicitly include gratitude, awareness of interconnectedness may encourage recognition of the many conditions that support human life. Such awareness can foster appreciation, responsibility, and compassionate action toward others.

Despite growing interest in gratitude within psychology and increasing scholarship on mindfulness and compassion, relatively limited attention has been given to gratitude as an integrated Buddhist ethical-contemplative process. Existing studies often focus either on psychological outcomes or on doctrinal interpretations without examining how gratitude may connect recognition,

reciprocity, interdependence, and compassion. Therefore, this study explores the ethical foundations of gratitude in Buddhist teachings, examines its relationship with contemporary understandings of well-being, and proposes a conceptual framework linking gratitude with compassionate engagement.

Research Objectives

1. To examine the ethical and philosophical foundations of gratitude in early Buddhist teachings.
2. To analyze the relationship between Buddhist gratitude, compassion, mindfulness, and contemporary psychological well-being.
3. To synthesize a conceptual framework explaining how gratitude may connect contemplative awareness with ethical and compassionate action.

Literature Review

Gratitude in Contemporary Psychology

Modern psychological research treats gratitude as both a state and a relatively enduring disposition. Emmons and McCullough (2003) helped establish the empirical study of gratitude through experimental work on gratitude-focused reflection. Wood et al. (2010) later reviewed evidence linking gratitude to psychological well-being and social functioning. Algoe (2012) emphasized its relational functions, particularly the ways gratitude can identify and strengthen valuable social bonds.

Fredrickson's (2004, 2009) broaden-and-build perspective offers a theoretical explanation of how positive emotions may expand cognition and gradually build durable personal resources. This literature provides a useful contemporary comparison, although association or intervention findings should not be interpreted as proof that gratitude produces identical outcomes in every cultural or religious setting.

Gratitude in Buddhist Ethics

Buddhist ethics locates gratitude within a wider moral field of received benefit, reciprocity, responsibility, and wholesome conduct. The paired concepts kataññutā and kataveditā are especially important: the first concerns recognizing benefits or kindness received, while the second concerns responding appropriately to that benefit. This pairing makes gratitude ethically active rather than merely affective.

The Aṅguttara Nikāya describes the benefactor and the person who is grateful and repays kindness as rare persons (AN 2.118). Teachings on parents likewise emphasize the extraordinary difficulty of repaying parental care, while the Maṅgala Sutta and Sigālovāda Sutta situate care, respect, and reciprocal duties within lay ethical life. These teachings suggest that gratitude is embedded in concrete relationships and responsibilities rather than presented as an isolated doctrine.

Interdependence, Compassion, and Contemplative Practice

Dependent origination (paṭiccasamuppāda) explains phenomena through conditions and relations rather than independent self-subsistence. Gratitude is not a formal component of the dependent-origination formula, but awareness of conditioned existence can provide a philosophical context for recognizing dependence on others and on sustaining conditions. This connection should therefore be understood as an interpretive ethical relationship, not as a claim that the canonical doctrine of dependent origination is a doctrine of gratitude.

Gratitude also intersects with compassion (karuṇā), loving-kindness (mettā), generosity (dāna), and mindfulness (sati). These qualities are conceptually distinct, yet contemplative reflection may connect them: recognition of benefit can weaken self-sufficient narratives, encourage appreciation, and motivate reciprocal care. Contemporary mindfulness and self-compassion research provides related evidence concerning emotional regulation and well-being (Kabat-Zinn, 2003; Neff, 2011), although these modern constructs should not be conflated with canonical Buddhist categories.

Existing literature is rich in psychological studies of gratitude and broader scholarship on Buddhist ethics, mindfulness, and compassion, but it has given less attention to a structured analysis of gratitude as an ethical-contemplative pathway linking recognition, reciprocity, interdependence, and compassionate action. The present study addresses this conceptual gap through documentary analysis and comparative synthesis.

Conceptual Framework

The study begins with a four-part analytical sequence derived from the literature: (1) recognition of benefit (kataññutā), (2) awareness of relational and conditioned existence, (3) ethical reciprocation (kataveditā), and (4) compassionate and prosocial action. This study uses contemporary psychological

literature on gratitude, positive emotion, resilience, and relationships to assess areas of convergence and difference. This framework guides the coding and synthesis of the documentary materials.

Research Methodology

This study employed a qualitative documentary research design. Its primary materials consisted of selected early Buddhist discourses concerning gratitude, reciprocal duties, parents, benefactors, compassion, and conditioned existence, consulted through established English translations of the Pāli Nikāyas and related texts. Secondary materials included scholarly works on Buddhist ethics and contemplative practice and peer-reviewed or established academic literature on gratitude, positive psychology, mindfulness, self-compassion, and contemplative neuroscience.

Documents were purposively selected based on their direct relevance to the three research objectives. The analysis proceeded in three stages. First, passages and scholarly discussions were coded for recurring concepts, including recognition of kindness, repayment or reciprocity, interdependence, compassion, mindfulness, emotional well-being, and prosocial conduct. Second, the authors grouped related codes into broader themes. Third, the study compared Buddhist and psychological materials conceptually to identify convergence, divergence, and limits of interpretation.

The study uses thematic and conceptual analysis rather than statistical meta-analysis or experimental evaluation. Claims concerning psychological or neuroscientific effects are therefore reported as findings of the cited literature, not as outcomes generated by this study. Likewise, Reiki and other complementary healing practices mentioned in the source manuscript are not treated as empirical evidence because the available material does not provide a documented sample, data-collection protocol, ethics procedure, or analyzable dataset sufficient for research claims.

Trustworthiness was strengthened through source differentiation: canonical or translated Buddhist texts supported doctrinal and ethical claims, while contemporary empirical literature supported psychological claims. The authors explicitly present interpretive connections between the two domains as conceptual synthesis. A limitation of this approach is that translation choices and

selective documentary sampling can shape interpretation; the resulting framework therefore requires further empirical and cross-cultural testing.

Results

The documentary and thematic analysis identified three major sets of findings corresponding to the research objectives: (1) the ethical and philosophical foundations of gratitude in early Buddhist teachings; (2) the relationship between gratitude, compassion, mindfulness, and psychological well-being; and (3) an integrative pathway through which gratitude may develop from recognition of received benefit into ethical and compassionate engagement. Across the Buddhist and contemporary psychological materials, gratitude emerged not as a single emotional state but as a multidimensional process involving recognition, relational awareness, ethical response, and social orientation.

1. Ethical and Philosophical Foundations of Gratitude in Buddhist Teachings

The first finding demonstrates that gratitude in early Buddhist thought has a distinctive twofold ethical structure expressed through kataññutā and kataveditā. Kataññutā refers to recognizing and remembering benefits or kindness received, whereas kataveditā concerns responding appropriately to those benefits. The analysis therefore indicates that Buddhist gratitude cannot be adequately understood as appreciation alone. Recognition becomes ethically significant when it generates an appropriate response.

This relationship is particularly evident in the Aṅguttara Nikāya. In the Dve Puggalā Sutta, the Buddha describes two persons as rare in the world: one who first performs an act of kindness (pubbakārī) and one who recognizes and repays kindness (kataññū katavedī) (AN 2.118; Bodhi Bhikkhu, 2012). The pairing is significant because it places gratitude within a reciprocal moral relationship. The benefactor initiates wholesome action, while the recipient recognizes the benefit and responds rather than treating assistance as something to which one is automatically entitled.

The analysis further showed that this principle applies concretely to relationships with parents. Buddhist teachings emphasize the magnitude of parental care and the difficulty of repaying it adequately. Such teachings do not imply that gratitude can be discharged through a single equivalent transaction. Instead, they emphasize continuing respect, care, moral responsibility, and

recognition of beneficence. The ethical meaning of gratitude consequently extends beyond repayment in a narrow economic sense and becomes a continuing orientation toward those who have contributed to one's life.

The Maṅgala Sutta (Sutta Nipāta 2.4) similarly identifies support for one's mother and father, responsibility toward family, and blameless livelihood among the highest blessings (Bodhi Bhikkhu, 2017).

The Sigālovāda Sutta (DN 31) broadens this relational structure by describing reciprocal responsibilities between parents and children, teachers and students, spouses, friends, religious practitioners and householders, and employers and workers (Walshe, 1995). Together, these teachings indicate that gratitude is embedded in a broader Buddhist understanding of ethical social relationships. It contributes to trust and social harmony because people neither ignore the benefits they receive nor treat them as purely private advantages; they generate responsibilities toward others.

A second philosophical dimension emerged through the analysis of dependent origination (paṭiccasamuppāda). The Saṃyutta Nikāya presents existence and experience as conditioned processes arising through causes and supporting conditions (Bodhi Bhikkhu, 2000). Gratitude is not itself a factor in the formal formula of dependent origination. Nevertheless, the principle of conditionality provides an interpretive framework for understanding why gratitude can acquire ethical significance. Human existence is never entirely self-produced. Biological life, education, livelihood, social opportunity, and psychological development depend upon multiple conditions and relationships.

From this perspective, the analysis suggests that awareness of conditionality can challenge an exaggerated conception of self-sufficiency. Personal achievement remains meaningful, but it can no longer be interpreted as the product of isolated individual agency alone. Parents, teachers, communities, social structures, and environmental conditions all help sustain human life. Gratitude thus emerges as an ethical recognition of relational existence. Importantly, this is an interpretive connection rather than a claim that paṭiccasamuppāda constitutes a canonical doctrine of gratitude.

Taken together, these findings show that the Buddhist foundation of gratitude contains three interconnected elements: recognition of benefit, awareness of relational dependence, and ethical reciprocation. Gratitude therefore operates simultaneously at cognitive, relational, and behavioral levels.

2. Gratitude in Relation to Compassion, Mindfulness, and Psychological Well-Being

The second set of findings concerns the relationship between gratitude and other dimensions of human flourishing. The Buddhist materials show that gratitude and compassion (karuṇā) are conceptually distinct but ethically complementary. Gratitude arises primarily from recognizing kindness or benefit received, whereas compassion arises through awareness of suffering and the wish that suffering be alleviated. This distinction matters because compassion should not be treated as another term for gratitude.

Nevertheless, the analysis identified a plausible developmental relationship between them. Recognition of the care received from parents, teachers, friends, communities, and others can make a person increasingly aware of human dependence and vulnerability. This awareness may reduce excessive self-centeredness and encourage greater sensitivity to others' circumstances. Kataveditā then moves gratitude beyond internal appreciation by requiring an ethically meaningful response.

The original materials also connect this process with mettā (loving-kindness), karuṇā (compassion), dāna (generosity), khanti (patience), and other wholesome qualities. These virtues should not be collapsed into a single construct; rather, the analysis suggests that gratitude may create conditions favorable to their cultivation. A person who becomes more conscious of receiving support may become more inclined to provide support in return or to extend kindness beyond the original benefactor.

Mindfulness (sati) adds a contemplative dimension to this process. Mindfulness can increase awareness of experiences that ordinarily remain unnoticed, including everyday acts of assistance, care, sacrifice, and cooperation. Gratitude reflection, loving-kindness meditation, and compassion meditation can similarly direct attention toward relational dimensions of experience. The analysis therefore suggests that contemplative practice may help transform gratitude from an occasional emotional reaction into a more stable mode of awareness.

These Buddhist findings show important areas of convergence with contemporary psychological research. Emmons and McCullough (2003) found that structured gratitude practices were associated with increased positive affect and subjective well-being. Wood et al. (2010) further identified relationships among gratitude, psychological well-being, prosocial tendencies, and interpersonal functioning. Algoe (2012) particularly emphasized gratitude's

relational role, suggesting that it helps identify and strengthen socially valuable relationships.

Fredrickson's broaden-and-build theory provides an additional explanatory perspective. Positive emotions may temporarily broaden attention and behavioral possibilities and, through repeated experiences, contribute to durable psychological and social resources (Fredrickson, 2004, 2009). Within this framework, gratitude may help redirect attention from an exclusive preoccupation with deficits or difficulties toward recognizing supportive relationships and beneficial conditions.

Recent meta-analytic evidence further supports a cautious interpretation of these benefits. Gratitude interventions have generally demonstrated positive but modest effects on psychological well-being (Diniz et al., 2023; Kirca et al., 2023). A large cross-cultural meta-analysis involving 145 papers, 163 samples, and 24,804 participants across 28 countries similarly found a small overall positive effect, while identifying substantial variation across national contexts (Choi et al., 2025). These findings suggest that gratitude may be a beneficial psychological resource, but its effects should not be assumed to be uniform across populations or cultural settings.

The neuroscience-related materials require similar caution. Literature concerning neuroplasticity and contemplative training suggests that repeated mental practices can influence patterns of attention, emotional regulation, and behavior (Goleman & Davidson, 2017; Hanson, 2009). However, the documentary evidence reviewed in this study does not justify claiming that Buddhist gratitude itself produces specific neurological changes. Neuroscience therefore provides a complementary account of the trainability of mental processes rather than direct biological validation of Buddhist teachings on gratitude.

The original manuscript also considered Reiki and holistic healing contexts. These materials suggest that gratitude may be used experientially to establish attentiveness, appreciation, and a supportive orientation during complementary wellness practices. However, because the available material does not provide a defined participant sample, systematic data collection, validated measures, or an empirical analytical protocol, such observations cannot be reported as research outcomes. They are better understood as practice-based contextual observations that may generate questions for future empirical investigation.

Overall, the second objective therefore produced a differentiated finding: Buddhist and psychological perspectives converge in recognizing gratitude as potentially transformative, but they explain that transformation differently. Buddhist sources emphasize ethical cultivation and responsible relationships, while contemporary psychology primarily examines measurable emotional, cognitive, and interpersonal outcomes.

3 . Integrating Gratitude, Ethical Responsibility, and Compassionate Engagement

The third objective sought to synthesize these findings into a framework that explains how gratitude may bridge Buddhist contemplative wisdom and contemporary approaches to well-being. Four recurring themes emerged across the documentary analysis: Recognition, Relational Awareness, Ethical Reciprocation, and Compassionate Engagement.

The first stage, Recognition, corresponds most directly with kataññutā. Gratitude begins when individuals recognize that another person or conditions beyond themselves have supported some dimension of their well-being. Recognition involves more than noticing a pleasant event; it includes remembering beneficence and acknowledging its significance.

The second stage, Relational Awareness, broadens this recognition. Reflecting on the conditions that support one's life reveals networks of dependence involving family, teachers, communities, institutions, and the natural world. Buddhist conditionality provides a philosophical context for this stage, while psychological research on relational gratitude provides a contemporary parallel. Recognition thus expands from “I have received something beneficial” toward “my well-being is relationally supported.”

The third stage, Ethical Reciprocation, corresponds principally to kataveditā. At this stage, gratitude becomes behavioral. Recognition motivates responses through respect, care, service, generosity, ethical conduct, or other appropriate forms of reciprocity. This distinguishes the proposed Buddhist-oriented model from approaches in which gratitude ends with subjective appreciation or increased personal well-being.

The fourth stage, Compassionate Engagement, extends ethical response beyond direct repayment. Received kindness can generate a wider disposition to support others, including persons who were not the original benefactors. Gratitude consequently moves from reciprocity toward prosocial and compassionate orientation. This stage connects the framework with mettā, karuṇā, and dāna, while maintaining their conceptual distinctiveness.

The four themes constitute the study's Gratitude-to-Compassion Pathway (GCP):

Recognition → Relational Awareness → Ethical Reciprocation → Compassionate Engagement

The GCP represents the principal synthesis arising from the documentary analysis. It frames gratitude as a movement from awareness to action rather than a static psychological state. At the individual level, the pathway may support emotional awareness and humility; at the relational level, it may strengthen reciprocity and trust; and at the wider social level, it may encourage compassionate and responsible engagement.

However, the GCP should be understood as a conceptual model generated from documentary analysis, not as an established canonical Buddhist framework or an empirically validated psychological intervention. Its value lies in organizing the findings into a sequence that can subsequently be examined through qualitative interviews, surveys, cross-cultural studies, and contemplative intervention research.

Overall, the results suggest that Buddhist gratitude's distinctive contribution lies in the transition from recognition to responsibility. Contemporary psychology demonstrates why gratitude may matter for well-being; Buddhist ethics adds the question of what individuals ought to do once they recognize the benefits they have received. Integrating these perspectives helps us understand gratitude not only as a resource for personal flourishing but also as a pathway to ethical relationships and compassionate participation in society.

Discussion

The findings indicate that gratitude occupies a distinctive position within Buddhist ethics. Unlike many contemporary psychological approaches that primarily conceptualize gratitude as an emotion, disposition, or positive psychological state, Buddhist teachings emphasize both recognition of benefit (kataññutā) and appropriate ethical reciprocation (kataveditā). Gratitude therefore extends beyond appreciation and becomes a relational moral practice expressed through respect, responsibility, generosity, and care for others. This interpretation is consistent with the Aṅguttara Nikāya, which presents the recognition and repayment of kindness as an important ethical quality (Bodhi Bhikkhu, 2012).

The study also demonstrates the importance of distinguishing gratitude from related Buddhist concepts. Gratitude is not identical to compassion (karuṇā), loving-kindness (mettā), or dependent origination (paṭiccasamuppāda). Nevertheless, these concepts can interact. Awareness of benefits received from parents, teachers, communities, and other supporting conditions may deepen recognition of human relationality. Such awareness can encourage ethical reciprocation and, potentially, wider concern for the well-being of others. In this sense, gratitude may offer one pathway from recognizing received benefit to compassionate engagement.

The comparison with contemporary psychological research reveals both convergence and important differences. Empirical studies associate gratitude with greater subjective well-being, stronger relationships, and prosocial functioning (Emmons & McCullough, 2003; Wood et al., 2010). More recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses also report beneficial effects of gratitude interventions, although these effects are generally modest and vary according to intervention design, comparison conditions, and population characteristics (Diniz et al., 2023; Kirca et al., 2023). Kerry et al. (2023) further found consistent associations between gratitude and life satisfaction while cautioning that evidence for causal effects is less conclusive when gratitude interventions are compared with other positively oriented activities. Cross-cultural evidence similarly indicates that the effects of gratitude interventions are not uniform across national contexts (Choi et al., 2025). These findings suggest that gratitude is a potentially valuable psychological resource, but its benefits should not be generalized without attention to methodological and cultural variation.

Based on the documentary analysis, the study proposes the Gratitude-to-Compassion Pathway (GCP): Recognition, Relational Awareness, Ethical Reciprocation, and Compassionate Engagement. The framework integrates the Buddhist emphasis on recognition and reciprocity with contemporary understandings of relational well-being and prosocial behavior. However, the GCP should not be interpreted as a canonical Buddhist doctrine or an empirically validated psychological model. Rather, it is a heuristic synthesis intended to clarify how gratitude may develop from awareness into ethical action.

The study's principal contribution is reframing gratitude as more than a beneficial psychological experience. Within Buddhist ethics, gratitude connects recognition with responsibility, thereby offering a conceptual bridge between

personal well-being, reciprocal relationships, and compassionate social engagement.

New Knowledge from the Research

The study's principal conceptual contribution is the provisional Gratitude-to-Compassion Pathway (GCP). The pathway contains four connected stages: Recognition, Relational Awareness, Ethical Reciprocation, and Compassionate Engagement. Recognition corresponds primarily to kataññutā: becoming aware of benefit and kindness received. Relational Awareness interprets this recognition within the broader conditioned, interdependent nature of human life. Ethical Reciprocation corresponds to kataveditā: translating appreciation into responsible action. Compassionate Engagement extends reciprocal responsibility beyond the original benefactor to a wider concern for others' well-being.

Stage	Buddhist emphasis	Practical implication
1. Recognition	Kataññutā	Recognize and remember the benefit received.
2. Relational Awareness	Conditioned/interdependent existence	See personal well-being as supported by multiple relationships and conditions.
3. Ethical Reciprocation	Kataveditā	Respond through respect, service, generosity, and responsible conduct.
4. Compassionate Engagement	Karuṇā, mettā, dāna	Extend care beyond repayment toward wider prosocial and compassionate action.

The GCP is a heuristic synthesis derived from documentary analysis; it is not a canonical Buddhist model, a psychometric scale, or a clinically validated intervention. Its research value lies in separating stages that are often collapsed into the single term “gratitude” and in providing testable questions for future qualitative, cross-cultural, and intervention studies.

Conclusion

This study examined gratitude through Buddhist ethics and contemporary psychological research. The findings demonstrate that gratitude in Buddhism extends beyond emotional appreciation and is fundamentally grounded in the ethical principles of kataññutā (recognition of benefits received) and kataveditā (appropriate reciprocation). Gratitude is therefore understood as a relational virtue that connects awareness of received kindness with responsible action toward others.

The analysis further suggests that gratitude may be interpreted within a broader context of interdependence. Although gratitude is not explicitly part of the doctrine of dependent origination, awareness of conditioned and relational existence can encourage recognition of the many individuals and conditions that support human life. Such recognition may foster a deeper sense of responsibility, generosity, and concern for others.

The study also identified meaningful areas of convergence between Buddhist teachings and contemporary psychological research. While empirical studies associate gratitude with enhanced well-being, resilience, and positive social relationships, Buddhist thought contributes an additional ethical dimension by emphasizing reciprocity and moral responsibility. This perspective broadens the understanding of gratitude from an individual psychological resource to a social and ethical practice.

As a conceptual contribution, the study proposed the Gratitude-to-Compassion Pathway (GCP), which consists of four stages: Recognition, Relational Awareness, Ethical Reciprocation, and Compassionate Engagement. The framework offers a way to understand how gratitude may develop from personal appreciation into broader compassionate action.

Because this study employed documentary analysis, the proposed framework remains conceptual and requires empirical validation. Future research should investigate the GCP through qualitative, quantitative, and cross-cultural studies in order to examine how gratitude influences ethical behavior, social relationships, and compassionate engagement in diverse contexts.

Limitations and Recommendations

1. The study is documentary and conceptual; it does not provide original interview, survey, clinical, or neuroscientific data.

2. The selection of Buddhist texts and English translations is purposive rather than exhaustive, and translation choices may affect interpretation.

3. The proposed relationship between dependent origination and gratitude is interpretive and should not be treated as an explicit canonical formulation.

4. Claims regarding Reiki or complementary healing cannot be evaluated empirically from the source material because no documented research protocol or dataset was supplied.

5. Future studies should test the GCP framework through qualitative interviews, validated psychological measures, cross-cultural comparison, and appropriately designed contemplative interventions.

References

Algoe, S. B. (2012). Find, remind, and bind: The functions of gratitude in everyday relationships. Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 6(6), 455–469.

Bodhi Bhikkhu. (Ed. & Trans.). (2005). In the Buddha's words: An anthology of discourses from the Pāli Canon. Wisdom Publications.

Bodhi Bhikkhu. (Trans.). (2000). The connected discourses of the Buddha: A translation of the Saṃyutta Nikāya. Wisdom Publications.

Bodhi Bhikkhu. (Trans.). (2012). The numerical discourses of the Buddha: A translation of the Aṅguttara Nikāya. Wisdom Publications.

Bodhi Bhikkhu. (Trans.). (2017). *The Suttanipāta: An ancient collection of the Buddha's discourses together with its commentaries*. Wisdom Publications.

Choi, H., Cha, Y., McCullough, M. E., Coles, N. A., & Oishi, S. (2025). A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of gratitude interventions on well-being across cultures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 122*(28), e2425193122. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2425193122

Diniz, G., Korkes, L., Tristão, L. S., Pelegrini, R., Bellodi, P. L., & Bernardo, W. M. (2023). The effects of gratitude interventions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Einstein (São Paulo), 21*, eRW0371. https://doi.org/10.31744/einstein_journal/2023RW0371

Emmons, R. A., & McCullough, M. E. (2003). Counting blessings versus burdens: An experimental investigation of gratitude and subjective well-being in daily life. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 84(2), 377–389.

Fredrickson, B. L. (2004). The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 359(1449), 1367–1377.

Fredrickson, B. L. (2009). Positivity. Crown.

Goleman, D., & Davidson, R. J. (2017). Altered traits: Science reveals how meditation changes your mind, brain, and body. Avery.

Hanson, R. (2009). Buddha's brain: The practical neuroscience of happiness, love, and wisdom. New Harbinger Publications.

Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003). Mindfulness-based interventions in context: Past, present, and future. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 10(2), 144–156.

Kirca, A., Malouff, J. M., & Meynadier, J. (2023). The effect of expressed gratitude interventions on psychological wellbeing: A meta-analysis of randomised controlled studies. *International Journal of Applied Positive Psychology, 8*(1), 63–86. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41042-023-00086-6

Neff, K. D. (2011). Self-compassion, self-esteem, and well-being. Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 5(1), 1–12.

Walshe, M. (Trans.). (1995). The long discourses of the Buddha: A translation of the Dīgha Nikāya. Wisdom Publications.

Wood, A. M., Froh, J. J., & Geraghty, A. W. A. (2010). Gratitude and well-being: A review and theoretical integration. *Clinical Psychology Review, 30*(7), 890–905.