



บทความปริทัศน์ (Article Reviews)

Journal Article Reviews

Journal Article Reviews

Yanuar Sumarlan

Institute of Human Rights and Peace Studies, Mahidol University

Daniel Bar-Tal and Eran Halperin, “The nature of socio-psychological barriers to peaceful conflict resolution and ways to overcome them,” *Conflict and Communication Online*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2013, pp. 1 - 16. Reviewed by Yanuar Sumarlan.

In this paper the authors elaborate on the nature of socio-psychological barriers [against peaceful conflict management] and propose some ways to overcome these barriers.

These authors accomplish these purposes in generally three steps. **First**, they build hypothetical mechanisms through which the society blocks alternative values (for peaceful conflict management) to disseminate throughout its population: (1) control of information (to support pro-conflict narratives), (2) discrediting counter-information, (3) monitoring (scrutinizing information, e.g., textbooks, NGO reports, media news, scientific studies, etc.), (4) punishment (against organizations or individual challenging the dominant narratives), (5) restricting access to archives (blocking public access to counter-hegemonic narratives), (6) censorship (blocking products like newspaper articles, cultural channels, or

official publication that challenge dominant conflict-supportive narratives), and (7) encouragement and reward mechanisms (to encourage sources, channels, agents, or products that promote the socio-psychological conflict repertoire).

Second, they use a concept proposed by some other researchers known as *freezing* as the process that accommodates the absorption of the societal mechanisms by its individual members. A cognitive process that is strengthened by the rigid structure of the conflict-supporting beliefs held by many society members, freezing is supported by three factors: rigidity, motivation, and group-internal emotions. Rigidity refers to the robust nature of the societal beliefs that are organized in a coherent manner with little complexity and greatly differing from alternative beliefs. Motivation reinforces the society members' view on the accepted beliefs of the ethos of conflict and the collective memory as true and valid as they fulfill various psychological needs. The group-internal emotions like fear function to close society members' psychological repertoires and strengthen the rigidity of their societal beliefs. In sum, freezing triggered by many different factors is the dominant reason the societal beliefs of the culture of conflict function as socio-psychological barriers.

Third, the authors hypothesize that to overcome these psychological barriers at individual level, peacemaking involves *unfreezing* as a key process that leads to change in the conflict-supporting repertoire. The process of unfreezing hypothetically begins with the spread of a new idea(s) inconsistent with accepted beliefs and attitudes that create some sort of tension, dilemma, or even psychological conflict. The new idea(s) becomes instigating

belief that motivates the society members to re-evaluate the accepted social beliefs or the culture of conflict. To cut the long story short, this instigating belief provokes cognitive dissonance and a new mediating belief that calls for changing the context of intractable conflict. The most important part of this third step is that the authors actually launch three studies to test this set of hypotheses among representative samples of Israeli Jews communities.

The first [correlational] study finds that the Israelis who believe that continuation of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict would bring unacceptable losses to Israeli Jewish society are more inclined to look for alternative information about the conflict and show greater willingness to make compromises for peaceful conflict resolution. The second study shows that information about possible future losses caused by the implementation of a one-state solution for the Israeli-Palestinian conflict leads to attitudinal unfreezing and to a higher levels of support for compromise among members of all political groups. The third study shows that the effects that instigating beliefs about future losses have on cognitive unfreezing and support for compromise are significantly greater than the effects of instigating beliefs regarding future gains.

This article shows that among a Jewish society whose members believe that in few next decades they would be outnumbered by the Palestinians the process of unfreezing is possible. The ultimate outcomes of unfreezing include changes in the repertoire that supports continuing the conflict, greater readiness to reassess the conflict, and willingness to entertain alternative beliefs. This study shows the importance of substantiating some hypothesis through population-based [correlational] studies on factors that might

reinforce or retard the socio-psychological barriers to peace. Nevertheless, the studies' attempt to pick representative samples among the Jews communities might hide the fact that various groups with a vested interest in continued conflict are neglected by the studies.

This study's best values lie in the techniques of designing a set of research on a very complicated research not only about what happens at the psychological level where values are internalized or rejected but also at the societal levels where values are shared or refused.

หน้าคำแนะนำสำหรับผู้เขียน (Instuction for Author)

รูปแบบ Format	พิมพ์ด้วยตัวอักษร TH Sarabun New ขนาด 16 พ้อยต์ ระยะบรรทัด 1.15 ใช้ระบบอ้างอิง APA (http://www.li.mahidol.ac.th/service/pdf/apa.pdf) Times New Roman 12 pt, 1.5 space. APA citation style (http://www.li.mahidol.ac.th/service/pdf/apa.pdf)
บทความวิชาการ/ บทความวิจัย Article/ Research article	ภาษาไทย : ความยาวขนาด 15 - 20 หน้ากระดาษเอ 4 รวมการอ้างอิงและคำอธิบายเพิ่ม พร้อมบทคัดย่อ ความยาวไม่เกิน ¼ หน้ากระดาษเอ 4 และคำสำคัญ จำนวน 3 - 5 คำ บทความวิจัยควรมีความเคร่งครัดและชัดเจนในระเบียบ วิธีวิจัย ตลอดจนมีข้อเสนอที่มีคุณภาพต่อการสร้างสรรค์ องค์ความรู้ใหม่ๆ ให้กับวงวิชาการ English: The length should be between 6,000 - 8,000 words including references and footnotes. The author should provide an abstract of 150 - 250 words and identify 3 - 5 keywords. Research article should be based on well-founded research with appropriate research methodology. It should contribute new knowledge to academic community.
ปริทรรศน์หนังสือ Book Reviews Multi-media reviews	ภาษาไทย: ความยาว 7 - 10 หน้ากระดาษเอ 4 พร้อมบทคัดย่อและคำสำคัญ English: length between 3,500 - 5,000 words with an abstract and 3-5 keywords.
ปริทรรศน์บทความ Article reviews	ภาษาไทย: ความยาว 1 - 1 1/2 หน้ากระดาษเอ 4 English: length between 500 - 700 words.
บันทึกภาคสนาม Fieldworks	ภาษาไทย: ความยาว 7 - 10 หน้ากระดาษเอ 4 พร้อมบทคัดย่อและคำสำคัญ English: length between 3,500 - 5,000 words with an abstract and 3 - 5 keywords.
การส่งบทความ Submission	เปิดรับบทความเพื่อพิจารณาตลอดปี Paper submitted will be reviewed on a rolling basis.

ชัยวัฒน์ สถาอานันท์
 ชัยสุโกศล
 ปาฐกถา สัปดาห์ :
 กระบวนการด้านความขัดแย้ง
 และสันติภาพ

27

ชัยวัฒน์

Janjira Sombatpoonsiri
 Laugh Your Way Back
 to Democracy:
 Thailand's 'Red Sunday' group
 and humorous nonviolent
 actions

69

Matthew Mullen
 Human rights and peace:
 Clashing cultures

107

Likhit Dhiravegin
 Human Rights,
 Human Security and Peace

137

Sriprapha Petcharamestee
 Human Security
 and Human Rights :
 (Still) contested concepts
 in ASEAN

191

บันทึกจากภาคสนาม (Fieldworks)
 บทบาทเจ้าหน้าที่รักษาสันติภาพในประเทศไทยมีเพียง
 ภายใต้การแพร่ระบาดของโรคโควิด-19: มุมมองจากภาคสนาม
 เปรตศักดิ์ เลิศสุริย์กุล

153

227

ปริทัศน์หนังสือ (Book Review)
 สันติวิธี มืดภาพ ความรุนแรง ความขัดแย้ง
 จามจุรี รัดเกล้า

237

บทความปริทัศน์ (Article Reviews)
 Journal Article Review
 Yanuar Sumarlan



สถาบันสิทธิมนุษยชนและสันติศึกษา

อาคารบัณฑิตาพัฒนา ชั้นที่ 999 พุทธมณฑลสาย 4
 ศาลายา นครปฐม 73170
 Tel: (66) 2441-0813-5 Fax: (66) 2441-0872-3
 E-mail: ihrs@mahidol.ac.th
 Website: www.ihrs.mahidol.ac.th